The Common Letter of Recommendation (CLOR) for the Micrographic Surgery and Dermatologic Oncology (MSDO) Fellowship Match

NOTE: This form is mandatory for all letters of recommendation for the MSDO fellowship match.

The CLOR was created to:

- Introduce a standardized approach to evaluation
- Optimize information gathering on important qualities of MSDO applicants
- Minimize the time burden on both letter writers and readers

The CLOR is meant to have the following qualities:

- Be concise
- Discern between candidates

In order to make the CLOR a useful instrument, it is essential that letter writers appropriately complete the form. The CLOR allows for comparison of the applicant against their peers in an extremely competitive applicant pool.

Please keep in mind that a rating of 'Good (Middle 1/3)' should be viewed as a positive evaluation and the true average amongst this group of exceptional candidates.

Once completed, please print the form as a PDF file before uploading.

A saved web form becomes blank when processed.

MICROGRAPHIC SURGERY AND DERMATOLOGIC ONCOLOGY (MSDO) FELLOWSHIP COMMON LETTER OF RECOMMENDATION

This applicant waived her/his right to view this common letter: Yes No

Applicant's Name:							SF Match #:			
Applio	cant's	Institution	:							
Your I	Name:									
Your S	Signat	ure:								
		Address: one Numb	er (cell	preferred) :					
Your p	oreser	nt position ((choos	e all that a	pply):					
Your present position (choose all that apply): Dermatology Department Chair Dermatology Surgical Director MSDO Fellowship Director Dermatology Program Director Dermatology Faculty Research Faculty How long have you been practicing? years				(F (Non-dermatology Faculty (Specialty: Private Practice physician (Specialty: Other (
A.	Backg 1.	1	2-4	5-10	ou worked w >10 h residents c	vith in the pas	t year?			
		< 1 day 1-3 day >3 day	per w	eek week	residents C	uveruge:				

3. How long have you known the applicant? months
4. What is your contact with the applicant? (Choose all that apply)

I have not worked directly with the applicant; letter based on others' evaluations

Limited direct surgical contact (<10 days)

Limited direct clinical contact (<10 days)

Limited direct research contact (<10 days)

Extended direct surgical contact (>10 days)

Extended direct clinical contact (>10 days)

Extended direct research contact (>10 days)

Direct observation writing article (case report, review article etc.)

Surgical director

Program director

Advisor

B. Assessment: Please assess the applicant compared to the overall MSDO applicant pool.

Leave sections blank if you have insufficient exposure to the applicant in that setting

SURGICAL SKILLS AND COMMITMENT TO MSDO

1. Basic surgical skill level (able to perform excisions, perform layered closures, suturing technique).

Meet expectations	Good	Excellent	Outstanding	Exceptional
(Lower 1/3)	(Middle 1/3)	(Top 1/3)	(Top 10%)	(Top 1%)

2. Advanced surgical skill level (advanced suturing, planning and execution of flap and graft repairs).

Meet expectations	Good	Excellent	Outstanding	Exceptional
(Lower 1/3)	(Middle 1/3)	(Top 1/3)	(Top 10%)	(Top 1%)

3. Commitment to micrographic surgery and dermatologic oncology (i.e. has the applicant carefully thought out their career decision).

Meet expectations	Good	Excellent	Outstanding	Exceptional
(Lower 1/3)	(Middle 1/3)	(Top 1/3)	(Top 10%)	(Top 1%)

COMMUNICATION AND EFFICIENCY

1. Ability to communicate in a caring and effective way with patients.

	Meet expectations (Lower 1/3)	Good (Middle 1/3)	Excellent (Top 1/3)	Outstanding (Top 10%)	Exceptional (Top 1%)		
2.	Ability to develop and just	ify an appropriate	differential dia	gnosis and cohesiv	e treatment plan.		
	Meet expectations (Lower 1/3)	Good (Middle 1/3)	Excellent (Top 1/3)	Outstanding (Top 10%)	Exceptional (Top 1%)		
3.	Quality of work ethic, pro	fessional behavior,	and dependabl	ility.			
	Meet expectations (Lower 1/3)	Good (Middle 1/3)	Excellent (Top 1/3)	Outstanding (Top 10%)	Exceptional (Top 1%)		
4.	Ability to work well within	multidisciplinary	healthcare tean	15.			
	Meet expectations (Lower 1/3)	Good (Middle 1/3)	Excellent (Top 1/3)	Outstanding (Top 10%)	Exceptional (Top 1%)		
5.	Ability to gain respect and effectively work with support staff (nurses and MAs.)						
	Meet expectations (Lower 1/3)	Good (Middle 1/3)	Excellent (Top 1/3)	Outstanding (Top 10%)	Exceptional (Top 1%)		
6.	Ability to elicit feedback and positively respond to feedback.						
	Meet expectations (Lower 1/3)	Good (Middle 1/3)	Excellent (Top 1/3)	Outstanding (Top 10%)	Exceptional (Top 1%)		
7.	Completes documentation	n in a timely and de	etailed manner.				
	Meet expectations (Lower 1/3)	Good (Middle 1/3)	Excellent (Top 1/3)	Outstanding (Top 10%)	Exceptional (Top 1%)		

8. Ability to complete research endeavor with minimal coaching.

Meet expectations	Good	Excellent	Outstanding	Exceptional
(Lower 1/3)	(Middle 1/3)	(Top 1/3)	(Top 10%)	(Top 1%)

GLOBAL ASSESSMENT

1.	Would you like to be in a two person MSDO fellowship with this individual?							
	Yes	Unsure	No					
2.	How much	h guidance w	vill this trainee	e likely ne	ed?			
	More t	:han average	(Lower 1/3)	Avera	ge (Middle 1/3)	Less than average (Top 1/3)		
3.	What is yo	our predictio	n of success o	f the app	licant?			
	Low (Lo	ower 1/3)	Good (Middl	le 1/3)	High (Top 1/3)	Extremely likely (Top 5%)		
	In the spac on (250 wo		ease highlight	the appli	cant's greatest st	rengths and/or their resilience a	nd	